UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,227	01/28/2004	William Welch	50269-0721	2598	
	7590 07/16/200 LERMO TRUONG &	EXAMINER			
2055 Gateway Place			HOANG, HIEU T		
Suite 550 San Jose, CA 9:	5110-1083		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		07/16/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Aı	pplication No.	lication No. Applicant(s)				
		1	0/767,227		WELCH ET AL.			
		Ex	kaminer		Art Unit			
		н	EU T. HOANG		2152			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	t with the co	rrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUL In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) No se the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be time  MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 02 June	2008					
,		<u>-</u>						
3)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ciocoa in accordance with the practi	oo anaon Ex p	ano quayro, 1000 c	J. <b>D</b> . 11, 100	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	P)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7 and 21-24</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
		o Evaminar						
-	The specification is objected to by the		od or b\□ objected	to by the E	vaminar			
ا_ا(۱۰	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		·			, ,		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/29/08</u> .	PTO-948)	Paper N					

Art Unit: 2152

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/02/2008 has been entered.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 21-24 are pending.

#### Response to Amendments

3. The U.S.C. 112 rejection regarding claims 1, 2, 4-7, 21-24 is withdrawn due to the amendments.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments on the rejection of claims 1-7 and 22 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach a hierarchical policy tree comprising data classes. The examiner respectfully traverses. The prior clearly teaches a hierarchical policy tree regarding resource management of data classes' traffics (Packer, pages 14-15, 3.1, building traffic classification tree, 3.2, allocating bandwidth based on policy)

Art Unit: 2152

## Claim Objections

5. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recites "the information content" on line 3. There is no antecedent basis for the information content.

- 6. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim recites "in response to detecting termination of a the particular data stream, means for determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use..." This is believed to be a grammatical error that makes the claim vague for reciting a component in response to a step--what does the means for determining do in response to the detecting?
- 7. Applicant is requested to check for and fix similar errors. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: if the means are physical components of a system, they lack physical structural connections.

Art Unit: 2152

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

11. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is related to a system. However, means components of the system are best understood as software modules (see fig. 6B of the specifications, plug-ins, transform module, allocator, etc. are software), given that no explicit hardware embodiments of these means can be found in the specifications. The system is software. Therefore, the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer et al. (6,757,277, hereafter Shaffer), in view of Packer et al. (US 6,046,980, hereafter Packer).
- 14. For claim 21, Shaffer discloses in a data network configured to transmit data streams at negotiated transfer rates, wherein a negotiated transfer rate is limited to

bandwidth apportioned to one of a plurality of data classes for each data stream, an improvement comprising:

allocating bandwidth to the data streams by negotiating a transfer rate for each of the plurality of data streams from a plurality of acceptable transfer rates, the plurality of acceptable transfer rates provided by plug-ins prior to transmitting each data stream at the negotiated transfer rate (col. 3 lines 6-34, col. 4 lines 21-32, audio, video coding provides acceptable transfer rates (or bandwidth per stream) for each type of traffic, col. 5 lines 10-21, plug-ins are coding algorithms provided to the user device, each algorithm has an associated rate).

Shaffer does not explicitly disclose:

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree; detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream; in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream, performing the steps of (a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream.

However, Packer discloses:

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree (col. 14 lines 40-51, classification tree for traffic classes, 3.2, policies);

detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, excess information rate EIR allows freed bandwidth from a terminated flow to be reallocated to other flows, until demand is satisfied meaning no need for more bandwidth for other flows, based on bandwidth availability);

in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, other flows' bandwidth demand is satisfied), performing the steps of

(a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream (col. 14 lines 32-37, soft isolation, free or unused bandwidth can be allocated or shared among different data classes in a traffic classification tree *at any time*).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Shaffer and Packer to take advantage of available bandwidth resources that has not been consumed by allocating excess bandwidth to data stream as available (Packer, col. 13 lines 41-43).

15. For claim 24, Shaffer discloses a method for allocating bandwidth of a data network to a plurality of data streams, comprising:

specifying apportionment of the bandwidth to a plurality of data classes (col. 5 lines 55-59, voice and data bandwidth allocation);

receiving a plurality of data streams for a plurality of plug-ins; wherein each plugin of the plurality of plug-ins is associated with a data class of the plurality of data classes (fig. 2, video, audio, data modules are plug-ins);

wherein each data stream is associated with one of the plurality of data classes (col. 3 lines 6-34, data stream inherently has information identifying whether it is audio, video or data);

from a plurality of acceptable transfer rates for each associated plug-in, negotiating a transfer rate for each data stream (fig. 2, col. 4 lines 41-63, each module negotiates which coding algorithm to use so that transfer rate is within thresholds);

wherein the transfer rate of the data stream for each plug-in is limited to the bandwidth apportioned to the data class associated with the particular plug-in (col. 5 lines 55-59, each stream transfer rate is limited by allocated rate of the class that the stream belongs); and

transmitting the data streams on the data network at the negotiated transfer rates (col. 5 lines 43-45, adjusting coding algorithm to negotiated rate and transmitting at that rate).

Shaffer does not explicitly disclose:

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree; detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream; in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream, performing the steps of (a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream.

However, Packer discloses:

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree (col. 14 lines 40-51, classification tree for traffic classes, 3.2, policies);

detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, excess information rate EIR allows freed bandwidth from a terminated flow to be reallocated to other flows, until demand is satisfied meaning no need for more bandwidth for other flows, based on bandwidth availability);

in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, other flows' bandwidth demand is satisfied), performing the steps of

(a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream (col. 14 lines 32-37, soft isolation, free or unused bandwidth can be allocated or shared among different data classes in a traffic classification tree *at any time*).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Shaffer and Packer to take advantage of available bandwidth resources that has not been consumed by allocating excess bandwidth to data stream as available (Packer, col. 13 lines 41-43).

16. For claim 1, Shaffer discloses a method for allocating bandwidth of a data network to a plurality of data streams, comprising:

specifying apportionment of the bandwidth to a plurality of data classes (col. 5 lines 57-58, data and voice bandwidth apportionment, col.4 lines 45-48, fig. 4, 5, bandwidth threshold X, Y of traffics);

receiving a plurality of data streams (fig. 2, receiving video, audio or data traffics are classes);

determining a particular data classes that corresponds to a particular data stream, wherein one or more other data streams that are associated with the particular data class are currently being transmitted (col. 3 lines 5-25, col. 5 lines 10-20, each stream's class is recognized by available or supported audio, video coding algorithms, col. 5 lines 61-62, many streams in one class).

determining a plurality of acceptable transfer rates for the particular data stream, negotiating a transfer rate for the particular data stream from the plurality of acceptable transfer rates (col. 4 lines 21-32, col. 5 lines 10-20, audio, video coding algorithms provide acceptable transfer rates (or bandwidth per stream) for each type of traffic),

Wherein negotiating a transfer rate for the particular data stream includes selecting a transfer rate that

(b) does not cause the transfer rate of the one or more data streams to go below minimum acceptable transfer rates of the one or more other data streams (col. 6 lines 13-44, bandwidth is stepped up when current monitored rate falls below a threshold for all streams in a class); and

transmitting the particular data stream on the data network at the negotiated transfer rate (col. 5 lines 43-45, adjusting coding algorithm to negotiated rate and transmitting at that rate);

Shaffer does not explicitly disclose the transfer rate (a) does not exceed bandwidth apportioned to the particular data class that is not being used by the one or more other data streams;

Art Unit: 2152

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree; detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream; in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream, performing the steps of (a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream.

However, Packer discloses that excess bandwidth can be allocated to a flow based on available bandwidth or bandwidth that has not been consumed (col. 13 lines 38-60)

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree (col. 14 lines 40-51, classification tree for traffic classes, 3.2, policies);

detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, excess information rate EIR allows freed bandwidth

from a terminated flow to be reallocated to other flows, until demand is satisfied meaning no need for more bandwidth for other flows, based on bandwidth availability);

in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, other flows' bandwidth demand is satisfied), performing the steps of

(a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream (col. 14 lines 32-37, soft isolation, free or unused bandwidth can be allocated or shared among different data classes in a traffic classification tree *at any time*).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Shaffer and Packer to take advantage of available bandwidth resources that has not been consumed by allocating excess bandwidth to data stream as available (Packer, col. 13 lines 41-43).

17. For claim 2, Shaffer-Packer discloses the invention as in claim 1. Shaffer-Packer further discloses the step of receiving comprises steps of: receiving stream annotations associated with each of the data streams; using a stream annotation associated with the particular data stream to select a plug-in of a plurality of plug-ins; activating the plug-in to receive each data stream (Shaffer, fig. 2, col. 3 lines 6-33, audio, video inherently has

Art Unit: 2152

annotations in the header identifying sender, receiver, protocol type, codec type, resolution, quality etc., a plug-in is a coding software for each stream such as codec)

- 18. For claim 4, Shaffer-Packer discloses the invention as in claim 1. Shaffer-Packer further discloses the step of transmitting comprises steps of: transforming the information content within the particular data stream to the negotiated transfer rate (Shaffer, col. 3 lines 6-34, coding is transforming); and transmitting the data stream on the data network at the negotiated transfer rate (Shaffer, col. 5 lines 43-45).
- 19. For claim 5, Shaffer-Packer discloses the invention as in claim 4. Shaffer-Packer further discloses the step of transforming comprises a step of thinning, transcoding or decimating the particular data stream to the negotiated transfer rate (Shaffer, col. 3 lines 6-34, audio/video coding).
- 20. For claim 6, Shaffer-Packer discloses the invention as in claim 1. Shaffer-Packer further discloses the transfer rate is a first transfer rate, the method further comprising steps of: determining an amount of unallocated bandwidth on the data network (Shaffer, col. 5 line 58-col. 6 line 2); negotiating a second transfer rate for a first data stream, wherein the second transfer rate uses the unallocated bandwidth (Shaffer, col. 6 line 25-35, increasing bandwidth usage by using more bandwidth-required coding due to available bandwidth); transforming the first data stream to the negotiated second

Art Unit: 2152

transfer rate; and transmitting the first data stream on the data network at the second transfer rate (Shaffer, col. 6 lines 34-35).

- 21. For claim 7, Shaffer-Packer discloses the invention as in claim 6. Shaffer-Packer further discloses steps of: receiving a second data stream; determining a second data class that corresponds to the second data stream; negotiating a third transfer rate for the first data stream, wherein the third transfer rate is limited to the bandwidth apportioned to the second data class; negotiating a fourth transfer rate for the second data stream, wherein the fourth transfer rate is limited to the bandwidth apportioned to the second data class; and transmitting on the data network, the first data stream at the third transfer rate and the second a second data stream at the fourth transfer rate (Shaffer, col. 5 line 22-col. 6 line 34, the second data stream and first data stream can just belong to a same class and their transfer rates can be adjusted to a third and forth transfer rate dynamically according to bandwidth threshold and maximum bandwidth of their class).
- 22. For claim 22, Shaffer discloses a system for allocating bandwidth of a data network to a plurality of data streams, comprising:

means for specifying apportionment of the bandwidth to a plurality of data classes (col. 5 lines 57-58, data and voice bandwidth apportionment, col.4 lines 45-48, fig. 4, 5, bandwidth threshold X, Y of traffics);

means for receiving a plurality of data streams (fig. 2, receiving video, audio or data traffics are classes);

means for determining a particular data class that corresponds to a particular data stream (col. 3 lines 5-25, col. 5 lines 10-20, each stream's class is recognized by available or supported audio, video coding algorithms, col. 5 lines 61-62, many streams in one class);

means for determining a plurality of acceptable transfer rates for the particular data stream (col. 3 lines 6-34, codecs for a plurality of available transmission rates for a audio/video flow);

means for negotiating a transfer rate for the particular data stream, wherein the transfer rate is a selected one of the plurality of acceptable transfer rates (col. 3 lines 6-34, col. 4 lines 21-32, audio, video coding provides acceptable transfer rates (or bandwidth per stream) for each type of traffic);

Shaffer does not explicitly disclose

Wherein each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree; means for detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, means for determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream; in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream, means for performing the steps of (a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of

the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream.

However, Packer discloses

Wherein each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree (col. 14 lines 40-51, classification tree for traffic classes, 3.2, policies);

means for detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, means for determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, excess information rate EIR allows freed bandwidth from a terminated flow to be reallocated to other flows, until demand is satisfied meaning no need for more bandwidth for other flows, based on bandwidth availability);

in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, other flows' bandwidth demand is satisfied), means for performing the steps of

(a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream (col.

14 lines 32-37, soft isolation, free or unused bandwidth can be allocated or shared among different data classes in a traffic classification tree *at any time*).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Shaffer and Packer to take advantage of available bandwidth resources that has not been consumed by allocating excess bandwidth to data stream as available (Packer, col. 13 lines 41-43).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Shaffer and Packer to take advantage of available bandwidth resources that has not been consumed by allocating excess bandwidth to data stream as available (Packer, col. 13 lines 41-43).

23. For claim 23, Shaffer discloses a method for allocating bandwidth of a data network to a plurality of data streams, comprising:

apportioning the bandwidth to a plurality of data classes (col. 5 lines 57-58, data and voice bandwidth apportionment, col.4 lines 45-48, fig. 4, 5, bandwidth threshold X, Y of traffics);

receiving a plurality of data streams each associated with one of the plurality of data classes (fig. 2, receiving video, audio or data traffics are classes);

from a plurality of acceptable transfer rates, negotiating a transfer rate for each data stream, wherein the transfer rate is limited to the bandwidth apportioned to the data class associated with each data stream (col. 4 lines 21-32, col. 5 lines 10-20,

audio, video coding algorithms provide acceptable transfer rates (or bandwidth per stream) for each type of traffic); and

transmitting the data streams on the data network at the negotiated transfer rates (col. 5 lines 43-45, adjusting coding algorithm to negotiated rate and transmitting at that rate);

Shaffer does not explicitly disclose:

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree; detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream; in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream, performing the steps of (a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream.

However, Packer discloses that excess bandwidth can be allocated to a flow based on available bandwidth or bandwidth that has not been consumed (col. 13 lines 38-60)

Art Unit: 2152

each class of the plurality of data classes corresponding to a node in a hierarchical policy tree (col. 14 lines 40-51, classification tree for traffic classes, 3.2, policies);

detecting termination of a particular data stream; in response to detecting termination of the particular data stream, determining whether another data stream from said particular data class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, excess information rate EIR allows freed bandwidth from a terminated flow to be reallocated to other flows, until demand is satisfied meaning no need for more bandwidth for other flows, based on bandwidth availability);

in response to detecting that no data stream from said particular class is able to use bandwidth that was allocated to said particular data stream (col. 13 lines 45-60, other flows' bandwidth demand is satisfied), performing the steps of

(a) selecting an existing data stream based, at least in part, on where the node that corresponds to the data class of the existing data stream is, within the hierarchical policy tree, relative to where the node of said particular class is, within said hierarchical policy tree; and (b) increasing the bandwidth allocated to said existing data stream (col. 14 lines 32-37, soft isolation, free or unused bandwidth can be allocated or shared among different data classes in a traffic classification tree *at any time*).

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Shaffer and Packer to take advantage of available bandwidth resources that has not been consumed by allocating excess bandwidth to data stream as available (Packer, col. 13 lines 41-43).

Art Unit: 2152

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hieu T. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-

1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8 a.m.-5 p.m.,

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HH

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152